Lensing by galaxy clusters

Johan Richard (CRAL, Lyon)



Outline

- Generalities on cluster lensing
- Lensing as a probe of the cluster mass distribution
- Clusters as Gravitational Telescopes
- Cosmology with strong lensing by clusters











- Cluster cores produce a large area of strong lensing effect: many multiplyimaged systems, giant arcs and arclets

- Weak-lensing regime: massive clusters can be detected out to their virial radius

- Flexion: higher order effects to probe substructure

Strong lensing







Cosmology

mass

Precise constraints from the location, shape and flux of multiple images.

Regime of very high magnification (µ>~ 1 mag): use as gravitational telescopes

Spectroscopy of multiple images is necessary to calibrate the clusters.

Current cluster samples with strong lensing:

- LoCuSS (PI: Smith) Richard et al. 10
- MACS (PI: Ebeling) Ebeling et al. 07,09
- SDSS/RCS: Bayliss et al. 11

Weak lensing



Cluster weak-lensing signal is detectable up to a few Mpc

Gives accurate measurement of virial mass and concentration



WL is also efficient to detect clusters a mass peaks in wide field imaging:

- CFHTLS (Gavazzi & Soucail 2007, Bergé et al. 2008, Shan et al. 2012)
- COSMOS (Léauthaud et al. 2009)

Cluster mass distribution





Parametric models (SIE, NFW, ...):

- 1 or several large-scale components
 DM or X-ray gas
- Galaxy-scale components : substructure

Non-parametric models:

• Reconstruction on a regular or adaptive grid





Cluster with the largest number of multiple images / constraints:

- 40 multiple systems with 25 having confirmed spectroscopic redshifts
- SL reconstructions: Parametric (Limousin et al. 2007), non-parametric (Coe et al. 2010), hybrid (multi-scale, Jullo & Kneib 2019)
- Weak-lensing constraints from CFHT and Subaru images, agreement with SL

LoCuSS sample: SL LoCuSS

22 92 FERT1

- 20 Northern/equatorial clusters
- HST + Keck/LRIS + Chandra + Palomar Near-IR
- Detailed structural study at ∆>5000 (sub-250kpc)
 - Distribution of Einstein radii
 - X-ray/lensing mass comparison
 - Cool core strength vs cluster substructure



Subaru weak-lensing Okabe et al. 2010, 2011

LoCuSS

Hoekstra (2007)

500



200

100





- 30 z~0.2 clusters with Suprime-cam
- Best accuracy at $\Delta \sim 1000$
- NFW parameters show steeper cvir-Mvir slope than N-body simulations



Mass comparisons Zhang et al. 2010



LoCuSS

- All <u>12</u>: $M_X/M_{WL}(\Delta = 500) = 0.99 \pm 0.07$
- Disturbed: $M_X/M_{WL}(\Delta=500) = 1.06\pm0.12$ Exc. A1914: $= 0.97\pm0.08$
- Undisturbed: $M_X/M_{WL}(\Delta=500) = 0.91 \pm 0.06$
- Previously: $M_X/M_{WL}(\Delta=500) = 0.9\pm0.1$
 - Madhavi et al. 2007; Zhang et al., 2008
 - Samples ~2-3x larger

Marrone et al. 2011



SZA

- Measurement of SZ effect in 18 clusters
- First calibration of the WL-SZ relation at Δ = 500, 1000, 2500

MAssive Cluster Survey

MAssive Cluster Survey: Ebeling et al. 2010, Selection: Lx > 7e44 erg/s @ [0.1-2.4] keV

150 clusters @ 0.3 < z < 0.5





- 45 clusters with stronglensing models
- No significant change in distribution of Re
- Evolution towards less relaxed clusters at z=0.4

12 clusters @ 0.5 < z < 0.7



All very massive, show SL Zitrin et al. 2009a,b: all 12 Limousin et al.2009: MACS1423 Smith et al.2010: MACS1149

MACS0717 (z=0.55)



Limousin et al. 2012 (sub.)

- 15 systems, covering ACS fov LRIS spec-z
- SL features need 5 halo model
- Halo centres generally agree with light peaks (not Xray)

Jauzac et al. 2011

WL analysis with ACS of the associated filamentary structure

Projected mass within ACS: 2x10¹⁵ Msol

But MACS0717 still not as powerful GT as A1689

Frontier Fields



- Very deep Hubble observations of 6 massive lensing clusters (29 AB)
- Highly-constrained Gravitational Lensing mass models

THE DISTANT UNIVERSE
 CLUSTER PHYSICS
 GALAXY EVOLUTION

. . .



Infante, Zheng, Laporte et al. 2015 (z>9)

PRE-HFF & HFF MASS INITIATIVES Public mass models

http://archive.stsci.edu/prepds/frontier/lensmodels/



 Up to 8 lensing teams contributing with public mass models for the Frontier F clusters, continuously improving based on discussions and new data

Frontier Fields



More SL constraints for the whole core :

- Mass estimation to *the* <1% *level* : $M(R<250kpc) = 2.765 \pm 0.008$ (stat) 10¹⁴ M_s
- correction of pre-HFF model

- more reliable estimation of the magnification

Magnification to *the 2% level* : $\mu = 5.61 \pm 0.10$ (stat) ± 0.57 (sys)

pre-HFF (Richard et al. 2014) : $\mu = 4.69 \pm 0.32$ (stat) Hera: N-Body+Semi-analytical model (Meneghetti et al. 2016)

Ares: analytical MOKA library (Giocoli et al. 2012)







Ares

Hera

Zitrin-LTM-gauss

Zitrin-NFW



Meneghetti et al. 2017

Frontier Fields + MUSE



Abell 2744: 2x2 mosaic Mahler et al. MNRAS 2018

MACS0416: 2 pointings Caminha et al. 2017 A&A 600, 90

AS1063: 2 pointings Caminha et al. 2016 A&A 587, 80 Karman et al. 2017 A&A 589, 28

Abell 370: 1 pointing Lagattuta et al. 2017 MNRAS 469, 3946

+ 2x2 mosaic

MACS1149 : 1 pointing Jauzac et al. 2016 MNRAS, 457, 2029 Grillo et al. 2016, ApJ 822, 278

2 arcmin

Frontier Fields + MUSE



- Confirm the identification of multiple images
 - Pinpoint the source redshift to improve mass modelling (also necessary for cosmography !)
 - Large number of LAEs
 - Large number of confirmed cluster members: dynamics of the cluster core
 - Intermediate redshift background galaxies (0.5<z<1.5): resolved properties.

Mass modelling improvement

Richard et al. 2015, MNRAS 446L, 16





Continuum color image

Composite narrow-band image Lyα CIII] [OII]

Mass modelling improvement



Confirmation and spectroscopic redshifts for 11 new systems



Mass modelling improvement



Frontier Fields + MUSE

Mahler et al. 2018

- •What do we learn with spectroscopic redshifts for
 - ~ 100 images ?
- Reach the rms limit (typically 0.5") in reproducing the multiple images (Johnson et al. 2016)
- Systematics on the mass and magnification
- Bias when knowing or not knowing the spec-z
- Cosmography from strong lensing
 Caminha et al. 2016
- Effect of line-of-sight substructure with multi-plane lens models (Chirivì et al., 1706.07815)

Cluster spectroscopy





Velocity (km s

Hamer et al. 2018, in prep.

Cluster of galaxies probing first objects

~300 thousand z=1100

~750 million z=7

~2.1 billion z=3

Target 1 Close Up



~11.2 billion z=0.18

| ~13.4 billion years since Big Bang

z=0

Kneib & Ellis with Caltech Digital Media Center

Gravitational telescopes:

• Advantages:

- boosts the total flux by increasing the observed size of background sources (constant surface brightness) - efficient for

unresolved sources - multiple images configuration gives a hint on z

- Drawbacks:
 - Effective area smaller in the source plane
 - Need to estimate the magnification to correct it



Magnification bias

• The observed LF is offseted, with more or less objects than a blank field depending on the luminosity range (**Broadhurst 95**)

• Current LF fits at z > 6suggest a positive magnification bias for unresolved sources down to ~ 27_{AB} (Maizy et al. 10)

• Lensing cluster fields are complementary to blank fields to probe the LF



Resolved studies at z > 3

(kpc)

A

Average size of 0.3 – 1 L*
 dropouts (Bouwens et al. 04)

• At $z > \sim 5$ only 1-2 resolution elements, even with HST

• Lensing as a gravitational microscope: stretches the apparent size of distant sources

• Unique way to reach sub-kpc scales: morphology, dynamics, gradients



Strongly lensed sources at high z



• Cb58 (**Seitz et al 98**): brightest LBG known until 2007

- The 8 o'clock arc (Allam et al 07)
- The Cosmic Eye (Smail et al 07)
- The Horseshoe (Belokurov 07)
- RCS0224 z ~ 5 (Swinbank et al 07) Etc....
- -Typically 20-21 AB
- Extended by 5-10"



- NIR emission lines "screening" to select brightest sources (Richard et al. 11)
- Keck/OSIRIS follow-up: dynamics and SFR in H-II regions at z ~2-3 (Jones et al. 2011)
- At z=2-4 possibility to search for metallicity gradients with [OII]+H β +[OIII] or [NII] + H α

- SFR map from [OII] (+H β), gas kinematics
- Resolved stellar populations (MUSE + HST)
- Stellar kinematics from absorption lines
- Resolved abundances
- AGN signatures
- Size / σ of bright star-forming regions



Patrício et al. 2018

0



Metallicity [12+log(0/H)]

Metallicity [12+log(O/H)]







0.6

0.7

0.5

3.80

3.85

8.90

6.0

0.8

8.95

8.75

8.70



Resolved maps of kinematics, metallicity, SFR and extinction

Kinematics compatible with both exp. disk and isothermal sphere models

Well-resolved negative metallicity gradient (0.6 < z < 0.8)

Patrício et al. 2018

Ô.

z=3.5 extended arc

Patrício et al. 2016, MNRAS 446L, 16



Resolved studies with IFU Vanzella et al. 2017, MNRAS 465, 3803

IMAGE B Lya blue red+blue Lya red B z=3.2840 z=3.2928 IMAGE A IMAGE B 5190 5200 5210 5220 5230 (A) 1485 1443 1439 9 kpc Lya blue IMAGE / red-blue Lya red



- Bright z ~ 5 strongly lensed source behind MS1358 (Franx et al. 97)
- NIFS spectroscopy: resolved [OII] emission in star-forming regions (Swinbank et al. 09)
- Star forming regions appear more concentrated compared to their local equivalents.
- New narrow-band program with HST (Livermore et al. 2012) to probe $z \sim 1-1.5$ sources

Metallicity gradients

Jones et al. 2011, Yuan et al. 2011



Observations of H α and [NII] in the ``clone" z=2 and MACS1149 z=1.5 with OSIRIS

Measurement of a gradient of decreasing metallicity from the center

Results limited to 2 objects: now VLT program to probe gradients in z~1 sample with SINFONI:



"Unresolved" studies



"Unresolved" studies





Jones et al. 2012, ApJ 751, 51 Jones et al. 2013, ApJ 779, 52

- Large variations of covering fractions (and therefore inferred escape fraction) seen in individual lensed galaxies.
- Small average increase of f_esc with redshift at z > 3?

High z searches



Critical line searches to search for LAEs: Ellis et al. 01, Santos et al. 04, Stark et al. 07





Hall et al. 11: 10 z ~7 behir bullet cluster

Bradley et al. 11: 8 z ~ 7 candidates behind A1703, maybe multiple images

High z searches (2)



Cycle 17 search for dropouts with WFC3 (PI: Kneib)



Postman et al. 11

~ 500 orbits with HST/ACS and HST/ WFC3, 25 clusters from MACS / LoCuSS

Accurate photo-z and mass modelling.

Richard et al. 11, Zitrin et al. 11abcd, Coe et al. 12







Current limits on the luminosity function:

- knowledge of the redshift evolution at z > 7, limited by statistics
- extrapolation to the faint end (sources dominating reionisation)

Multiwavelength studies





In the Rayleigh-Jeans tail of the dust blackbody spectrum, distant galaxies get *brighter*

Submm surveys with SCUBA/ LABOCA/Herschel detect sources out to $z \sim 5$

Lensing+Submm:

- Knudsen et al. 09 (A2218)
- Swinbank et al. 10
- Gonzalez et al. 10

Herschel Lensing Survey (Egami et al. 10, Rex et al.10, Combes et al. 12)

ALMA



Abell 1689 Early-Science: 50 pointings covering the high magnification region, 7hrs

- 50 μJy limit in the continuum @1.3 mm (4sig)

CO lines for known submm galaxies (Knudsen et al.,

SCUBA map)

- Stacked CO lines for 30 low-

luminosity galaxies at known 1.5 < z < 3.0



Crédits: ESO/J.Richard



Cosmology with strong lensing



Ratio of efficiencies for 2 systems at redshift $z1 \neq z2$ constrains cosmological parameters Ωm and wx

Needs: accurate spectroscopic redshifts for many systems behind a given cluster

Cosmology with A1689

Jullo et al. 2010, Science



Mass model with 3 large-scale potentials, 58 cluster galaxies

Bayesian optimization: 32 constraints, 21 free parameters 28 multiple images from 12 sources with spec z Accounting for errors due to galaxies scatter and LOS scatter (estimated from simulation)

RMS = 0.6 arcsec



Conclusions

□ A large sample of strong lensing clusters has been assembled and modeled, with enough accuracy to use them as Gravitational Telescopes. They have a wide multiwavelength coverage, with HST, IRAC, Herschel

□ Combination of WL and SL probe different ranges in the cluster mass profile and allow us to calibrate X-ray and SZ measurements.

 \Box Lensing is the only way to resolve the inner morphology, dynamics and metallicity gradients in typical sources at z > 3

 \Box SL is an independent (and promising)geometrical test for Ω m and wx

□ Future: need for optimized reconstruction techniques for resolved sources observed with IFU